

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,445	08/30/2001	Toshimichi Kurihara	14872	7920	
23389 73	590 07/21/2004		EXAM	INER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			BEREZNY, NEMA O		
GARDEN CIT			14872 7920 EXAMINER BEREZNY, NEMA O	PAPER NUMBER	
			2813		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/942,445	KURIHARA ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Nema O Berezny	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		. Grand and a street and taken	:-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	it(s) a)□ will not be entered or t vould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	· ·				
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,22 and 24</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-21.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			

Chang 9. Illowson CRAIG A. THOMPSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the conductive member 13 of Switky does not extend from a first pair of opposing sides of a rectangular resin wall 15, and the radiating plate 14 does not extend from the second pair of opposing sides of said wall is not persuasive. As shown in Fig.1 of Switky, the conductive members 13 extend from all 4 sides of said resin wall, and as shown in Fig.2, the radiating plate 14 is positioned outside of all 4 sides of said wall.